As promised...I was able to get a review of your material, and this was conducted by a group of scientists I have access too.

This is the site we reviewed...

The Greenhouse Effect

https://woodromances.blogspot.com/p/challenges-to-greenhouse-effect.html?fbclid=lwY2xjawNnTNVleHRuA2FlbQlxMABicmlkETE5bWdTdHF3TkFVN01leEFoAR6Hjb45wH90-X-9j5A-FDkgtwrZqIr-fJz3U8xbS-00qR-f_Nixt1ldH-jp6A_aem_R7ys04DUTPv17NsFXnMx4g#cause

We reviewed your position on the causal structure between, and global temperature and a separate report is shown here.. <u>01K1F4ZT3S8NH6N4R69VC245HE.pdf</u>

The whole notion of the "casual structure" remains unsettled but high concern was expressed that the review and conclusions used models that have a habit of generating a circular review process that leads to a begging of the question.

Yes Agreed...CO2 causes warming but some calculations show it to be minimal and will further decline with the saturation of the trace gas CO2.

Then the debate still exists as to what causes the increase in CO2 ... evidence suggests that although fossil fuels have added to the concentration, there are many other sources due to natural temperature increase from the LIA. A review package shown here explains some of these factors..

Large_changes_in_annual_global_emissions_of_CO2_are_not_reflectedSubstackv2.pdf

file:///C:/Users/nsout/Downloads/Telegram%20Desktop/Large_changes_in_annual_global_emissions_of_CO2_are_not_reflectedSubstackv2.pdf

01K6QVQ9P0PAVJX5X5JQ02TJJ7.pdf

So.. the summary is that CO2 is increasing and humans use of FFs have contributed but the impact on temperature increase is manageable at about 1 deg per century at a doubling of CO2. Also that many factors have contributed to CO2.

The discussion about how clouds have a huge effect on the climate is a shared view but its still not clear if this is a radiative, thermodynamic, or gamma ray/solar effect, and work needs to continue on this unknown. Its also not clear if FFs have much impact in this mix of control factors.

On the unsubstantiated basis that CO2 is the main driver of the climate (and even this is not clear) then the team feels that the best summary of the state of climate science is the DoE report that correctly characterizes the unknowns, and also the benefits, and based on evidence does not consider the impacts of climate to be any kind of emergency.

New Climate Report from the US DOE - by Nigel Southway

https://nigelsouthway.substack.com/p/new-climate-report-from-the-us-doe

In summary... we have gone through the list of papers and although there is a vast mountain of papers published on climate science, they can always be selected and used to generate a particular narrative.

A database of supporting information is included here..

01K3KQSBWVPEJM21PJMD74DZB4.pdf

SUMMARY

The key point by the team is that the recent DoE report published in the USA debunks the climate catastrophe narrative fairly robustly, and this is the best baseline for further and ongoing discussion of what is still an unsettled science that requires far more review before any position on climate actions or energy policy should be undertaken.